

Benchmark Survey of U.S. Direct Investment Abroad, 1966

Much new information on U.S. direct investments abroad has been obtained from a comprehensive survey conducted by OBE. A volume of data has just been published entitled *United States Direct Investments Abroad, 1966 Part 1: Balance of Payments Data*. It presents detailed statistics of capital flows, net earnings, the reinvestment of earnings, and the receipt of all types of income by U.S. owners, including royalties and fees, together with a full description of methodology.

AMERICAN direct investments abroad have received increasing attention in recent years. Their rapid growth has influenced the pattern of international trade, resulted in substantial U.S. receipts of profits and dividends, and transferred U.S. capital, technology, and managerial talent abroad. Detailed information about some aspects of these multinational activities has just been published by OBE under the title *United States Direct Investments Abroad, 1966 Part 1: Balance of Payments Data*, a supplement to the *Survey of Current Business*.¹ The information is based on a comprehensive benchmark survey of about 3,400 U.S. owners covering over 23,000 foreign affiliates. Previous benchmark surveys were conducted by OBE for the years 1950 and 1957.

Part I of the survey provides the definitive measurable balance of payments results of all direct investments for the year 1966, and is organized to show extensive breakdowns by country and industry. In addition, it presents detailed information on the structure of

the foreign enterprises and on the type of transactions with U.S. owners. It also presents data classified by extent of U.S. ownership and by age of the foreign affiliate and provides such details as transactions between primary and secondary foreign enterprises, gross versus net capital flows, profits and losses of foreign branches, royalties and related fees received from foreign affiliates for the use of the technology and know-how transferred to them and service charges and rentals collected from affiliates.

Part II of the survey will be published in separate segments based on the industry classification of the U.S. owners. It will include data on the financial statements and operating statistics of the foreign affiliates.

This article highlights a few of the interesting findings of the survey. One is that despite very large increases between 1950 and 1966 in direct invest-

ment outflows and in the combined income (dividends, interest, branch earnings, and fees and royalties) paid to U.S. owners, the difference between the two flows changed surprisingly little.

These calculations, however, do not take account of all the balance of payments flows related to direct investments, nor are they intended to measure the overall impact on the balance of payments of direct investments. For instance, part of U.S. direct investments abroad is financed by borrowing from foreigners and these offsetting inflows are not counted here. Likewise, the considerable impact of direct investment on trade flows is not taken into account. Similar qualifications apply to the other points made below.

A second finding relates to the link between capital flows and earnings, on the one hand, and the date of establishment or acquisition of foreign affil-

Table 1.—Capital Flows and Combined Income Receipts, 1950 and 1966

(Millions of dollars; balance of payments outflows (—))

Area and country	Capital flows			Interest, dividends and branch earnings			Royalties and fees			Net flows		
	1950	1960	Change	1950	1960	Change	1950	1960	Change	1950	1960	Change
All countries, total.....	-821	-4,267	-3,446	1,334	2,935	1,601	231	1,099	868	904	779	-124
Developed countries.....	-463	-3,677	-3,214	440	1,529	1,089	122	821	699	39	-1,236	-1,235
Canada.....	-297	-1,335	-1,038	204	720	516	35	220	185	42	-369	-431
Europe.....	-121	-1,070	-949	118	644	526	73	465	392	70	-820	-900
Republic of South Africa.....	-23	-28	-5	13	65	52	3	16	13	-7	41	48
Japan.....	-7	-54	-47	2	42	40	4	42	38	-1	30	31
Australia.....	-24	-175	-151	11	44	33	6	50	44	-7	-81	-74
New Zealand.....	-1	-14	-13	2	10	8	1	3	2	2	-1	-3
Less developed countries.....	-358	-890	-532	894	2,406	1,512	109	278	189	805	2,008	1,203
Latin American Republics.....	-45	-278	-233	513	911	397	65	168	103	533	700	166
Other Western Hemisphere.....	-6	-140	-134	10	185	175	1	12	11	5	28	23
Other Africa.....	-16	-60	-44	24	230	206	1	18	17	15	107	122
Middle East.....	-62	-68	-6	211	290	79	11	27	16	159	848	689
Other Far East.....	-9	-46	-37	91	125	34	21	46	25	100	113	7
International.....	-25	-60	-35	11	70	59	n.s.	22	22	-14	32	46

n.s. Not separately shown.

Note.—Detail may not add to totals because of rounding.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics.

1. Available at \$1.75 per copy from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20540 or any Commerce Department Field Office. Stock number 0330 0039.

exporting countries. A favorable balance of \$1.7 billion was achieved in 1966 in transactions with major oil-producing countries in the Middle East, Libya, Venezuela and Indonesia, while other less developed countries combined accounted for only \$0.3 billion. Part of the favorable shift in the oil-producing countries may reflect a tendency for affiliates there to be relatively mature and thus have high ratios of earnings to capital flows. But other factors were probably more important. During the period 1950-66, governments in the oil countries stimulated price increases for oil produced in these countries. This worked to increase taxable income, tax collections of the governments, and after-tax profits of the producers.

Influence of age of affiliates

Older enterprises differ from newly acquired or established enterprises in that the older ones tend to have established markets, their heavy start-up expenditures have long since been met, and a significant amount of their plant facilities has been depreciated. Older enterprises thus tend to have significantly larger amounts of earnings available for payment to the owners or for reinvestment in plant or other assets than do new enterprises, their need for external financing is smaller, and their parents are less frequently called upon to provide funds.

The survey found that about 87 percent of 1966 direct-investment earnings (about \$4.9 billion out of a total \$5.6 billion) was earned by affiliates established by their U.S. owners or acquired by them prior to 1958 (table 2). Affiliates established or acquired in 1958 through 1960 accounted for 10 percent of 1966 earnings and those dating from 1961 or later accounted for only 3 percent. In the European Common Market, affiliates established or acquired in 1961 or later showed aggregate net losses of \$80 million in 1966 while affiliates established or acquired in 1960 or earlier had aggregate earnings of \$440 million.

It was also true with respect to dividends, interest, and branch profits paid to U.S. parents that about 90 percent of the 1966 total came from

affiliates predating 1958 and 3 percent from affiliates established or acquired in the 1961-66 period. The similarity to the pattern in earnings suggests that the affiliates' payout ratios do not vary much by age of affiliate.

The total net capital outflow to affiliates was \$4.3 billion in 1966, of which only 32 percent (\$1.4 billion) was invested in firms established or acquired prior to 1958, while 60 percent (\$2.6 billion) was invested in affiliates dating from 1961 through 1966.

Extent of U.S. ownership

Table 3 relates earnings and balance of payments transactions to the percentage of U.S. ownership. About 70 percent of the foreign organizations reported in the survey were essentially wholly-owned (95-100 percent), including foreign branches of U.S. corporations; 18 percent were majority-owned (50-94 percent) and 12 percent were minority-owned.

Wholly-owned enterprises accounted for more than 80 percent of net earnings, but for only 65 percent of net capital outflows. The comparable figures for wholly-owned manufacturing affil-

ates were 78 percent of net earnings and 58 percent of net capital flows; for petroleum affiliates, the figures were 88 percent and 69 percent, respectively. Thus, the ratio of earnings to capital flows was more favorable for wholly-owned affiliates than for affiliates in which U.S. ownership was smaller. This relation, however, could be the reflection of a tendency for wholly-owned enterprises to be older than affiliates in which U.S. ownership is smaller. If this is so, the large earnings may reflect maturity rather than ownership.

Coverage and methodology

Reporting on direct investments is mandatory under the provisions of Section 8 of the Bretton Woods Agreements Act. The Instructions and Regulations for the OBE survey were published in the *Federal Register* in August 1967, and notice of the reporting requirements was widely publicized. Forms and instructions were mailed directly to all investors listed in OBE's records and to those others requesting forms. Most reports were returned by the summer of 1968.

(Continued on page 44)

Table 3.—Selected Data on United States Direct Investments, 1966, by Major Industry and Extent of U.S. Ownership

(Millions of dollars)

Items and percent of U.S. ownership	Total	Agriculture	Mining and smelting	Petroleum	Manufacturing	Public utilities	Trade	Finance and insurance	Other industries
Net capital outflows (+),									
total.....	4,287	27	321	878	1,966	61	329	680	152
95-100.....	2,716	23	244	889	1,139	47	248	320	83
50-94.....	716	1	37	214	920	7	51	53	32
25-49.....	306	1	26	37	191	5	19	18	11
10-24.....	71	(**)	4	—3	35	1	2	13	19
1-9.....	168	2	10	21	281	33	11	95	3
Net earnings, total.....	5,534	39	634	1,888	1,914	161	495	357	265
95-100.....	4,684	34	436	1,672	1,489	120	413	320	196
50-94.....	735	4	119	171	200	43	65	13	25
25-49.....	188	1	82	28	70	3	0	10	—2
10-24.....	92	(**)	19	0	42	0	10	10	—4
1-9.....	15	(**)	1	8	6	(**)	1	(**)	(**)
Interest, dividends and branch earnings, total.....	3,638	24	510	1,760	993	71	216	116	105
95-100.....	3,254	21	385	1,604	704	35	180	100	87
50-94.....	430	1	40	114	141	28	40	17	14
25-49.....	40	(**)	42	12	34	3	1	3	—4
10-24.....	22	(**)	11	2	21	1	2	6	—2
1-9.....	81	1	7	27	34	6	1	6	1
Reinvestment of earnings,									
total.....	1,790	6	125	162	914	134	259	30	106
95-100.....	1,329	2	87	81	699	98	232	70	83
50-94.....	321	1	40	34	167	30	20	7	10
25-49.....	106	(**)	21	22	40	2	5	7	—2
10-24.....	30	(**)	7	4	19	4	2	5	—2
1-9.....	4	(**)	(**)	(**)	3	(**)	(**)	(**)	(**)

** Less than \$500,000.

1. This represents partial ownership of affiliated and non-affiliated U.S. owners in foreign organizations in which another U.S. owner owns 25 percent or more of the voting stock.

Notes.—Details may not add to totals because of rounding.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics.

Direct Investments

(Continued from page 16)

The coverage is believed to be virtually complete for major U.S. investors. Details of methodology, regulations and instructions, definitions, and copies of the reporting forms can be found in the *Direct Investments Abroad* volume.

"Direct foreign investment" is defined to include all foreign business organizations in which a U.S. person, organization, or affiliated group owns an interest of 10 percent or more. In addition, a foreign business organization in which 50 percent or more of voting stock is owned by U.S. residents is counted as a direct foreign investment even if no single U.S. group owns as much as 10 percent. It should be noted that some of the U.S. reporters who own direct investments abroad are themselves owned by foreigners, and direct investments of such U.S. reporters are included in this survey. Not covered are U.S. holdings of the stocks or long-term debt of foreign organizations not meeting one of these criteria; such holdings are considered portfolio, not direct, investments.

"Direct foreign investment" also includes all equity in the stock and surplus, and ownership of the long-term debt, of the foreign organization belonging to U.S. persons other than the U.S. parent of the foreign organization. However, U.S. banking and nonparent commercial claims on the foreign organization, or loans by U.S. Government agencies, are not included; they are shown elsewhere in the statements of the U.S. balance of payments and international investment position. Thus, accounts between the home offices and foreign branches of U.S. banks which are reportable on Treasury Department Foreign Exchange Forms B1 and B2 are excluded. The vast majority of such accounts represent balances held by the foreign branches with their U.S. home offices.

Structures and Equipment

(Continued from page 22)

is assumed to be a good indicator of the use of the product. For example,

typewriters purchased on capital account were distributed to using industries on the basis of industry employment of typists. In most cases, all 76 user industries identified in the capital flow table were included in this allocation.

Evaluation

The estimates of equipment flows shown in table 1 fall short of the quality of the estimates of flows on current account in the input-output table for 1963. This is because there is much less hard statistical information available for estimating capital flows than for estimating current account flows.

Table 2 shows that, in dollar terms, well over half of the flow of equipment (\$19.4 billion out of \$33.6 billion) was allocated to using industries according to indirect estimating methods of one sort or another.

Information similar to that in table 2 has not been compiled for the current account flows in the 1963 input-output table. However, much more information on actual purchases was available for estimating the current account flows so that indirect methods were used much less. This is especially true for manufacturing industries, for which the Census of Manufactures supplied detailed information for more than half of the total consumption of materials by manufacturing plants. In addition, the regulatory commissions and various private surveys provided much information on current account flows for non-manufacturing industries. Firm statistical data on purchases, and in some instances sales, underlie about one-half of the total dollar amount of flows in the current account table. In addition, information of the sort listed in categories 2 and 3 in table 2, which can also yield quite good estimates, is used substantially in the current account table.

Another factor to be considered in evaluating the quality of the equipment flows is that indirect estimating

methods are in general more reliable for estimating current consumption than capital purchases. The consumption of many goods in current production can be closely approximated by such variables as output or employment, for which we have good data. This is not the case for purchases of new equipment. One can theorize about factors which affect new capital purchases, such as industry profits, excess capacity, age of stock, expected demand, and so on. However, we do not have an adequate statistical or theoretical basis for setting up equations incorporating such variables to estimate an industry's demand for specific types of fixed capital. Instead, the basis for the estimates was usually data on output, employment, or, in some instances, capital stocks.⁹

Table 2 does not provide a very useful basis for evaluating the estimates of new structures. Although three-fourths of the total dollar amount of construction is in the combined categories 1 and 2, the quality of the construction estimates is probably impaired because to a considerable extent they are based on secondary sources of information rather than on direct surveys of work done.

It is clear that significant additions to the Federal statistical program are required before fully adequate capital flow tables can be constructed. As a result of the experience gained in constructing the table for 1963, OBE is better able to assess the need for additional statistics on business purchases, sales, and rentals of new fixed capital for estimating inter-industry flows, and to formulate specific recommendations for such additions. The type of analysis summarized in table 2 extended to specific capital items and industries can provide guidelines for the needed improvements by showing the products and industries for which new or expanded data collection surveys are most needed.

9. Information concerning the basis for distributing each product to the using industries will be available shortly.